*paternalism*

1. Give a plausible counterexample to the following claim:

If person A made a decision autonomously, and the decision does not affect other people, then what A chose was the thing that was prudentially best for them [given their evidence].

1. When, if ever, is it morally permissible to restrict a person’s autonomy for their own benefit? Back up your claim with some evidence.
2. Assuming we are going to have some form of paternalistic law: is coercive or manipulative (libertarian) paternalism better? Why?